(3)

SINGLE BENCH

C.C. 100/—

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2477 OF 2005

BETWEEN

Mohd Afzal S/o Shri Mohd Ibrahim ,aged about 56 years, occupation Assistant Grade I ,Higher Education Department, resident of 304 M Housing Board Colony, Vir Savarkar Nagar, Hirapur, Raipur (CG).

PETITIONER

AND

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary Department of Higher Education, Mantralay, D.K.S.Building, Raipur (CG).

2. State of Madhya Pradesh, through the Secretary Higher Education, Mantralay, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (MP).

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. Particulars of the Petitio[illegible]

(29)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2477 **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	29.06.2005 Mr. Awadh Tripathi, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Akhil Agrawal, learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was employee of M.P. Higher Education Anudaan Aayog. On dissolution of M.P. Higher Education Anudaan Aayog with effect from 01.02.1994, the petitioner was treated as employee of the Directorate of Higher Education, Department of Higher Education, Government of Madhya Pradesh. Salary of the petitioner was fixed at the scale of Rs.1320-2040 vide order dated 03.07.2002 (Annexure P-2) issued by the Higher Education Department, State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioner was getting his salary. The petitioner apprehends that he will not be entitled to get increments as his salary has been fixed at Rs.1320-2040. The petitioner has not challenged the order dated 03.07.2002 (Annexure P-2) till the filing of the present petition on 24.04.2005. The petitioner is not in a position to explain the delay in filing the petition when the salary was fixed long back in July, 2002. The petitioner seeks liberty of this Court to make a representation to the authorities. The petitioner is granted liberty to make a representation before the concerned authorities and the concerned authorities may consider his representation with a period of 3 months from the date of application. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Sarma